

# CLIMATE CHANGE IS NATURAL, NOT AN UNUSUAL PHENOMENON

Thomas T. S. Watson, Bell Post Hill, VIC, Australia, [ttsw@bigpond.com](mailto:ttsw@bigpond.com)

## PART – 1 of 3 - INTRODUCTION

### Abstract:

The historical records of Earth shows everything is in a state of continual change, of which *'Climate'* is one. This paper recognises and supports this concept. With false information promoted showing that humans somehow are responsible for these abnormal changes of seasons in recent times, tells of these notions that go to support those who see this as a means of profiting financially and/or politically activated, or in many instance - both. These instances over a long period of time, lead to unprecedented levels of propaganda to convince the uninitiated people that they should *'do something'* in order to *'save the planet'* and using *'voices of authority'* to gain this support, portraying the notion of apocalyptic climate change, thus enhancing the ability of profiting this propaganda to achieve their respective aims.

### Introduction:

It is an interesting fact that for the last 30 years, there has been silent agitation about a minuscule invisible trace gas Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) that supposedly, regulates the Earth's temperature, which is based on an idea that increasing this trace gas into the atmosphere, will increase temperatures. Regrettably, accepting this thought of the applied empirical data shows that exactly the opposite is true: for the increasing CO<sub>2</sub> levels, actually follows the result of the Earth's decreasing temperatures by some 120 to 800 years behind. Furthermore, the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> as a supposed 'greenhouse' gas is logarithmic in any event, increases the present atmospheric levels being quite trifling, despite the 'doom and gloom' prognostications of such organisations as Bill McKibben's "350.Org" in which this *'inconvenient truth'* continues to be ignored.

So why the fuss? It couldn't have anything to do with entrenched financial and political interests now, could it? *"Follow the money"* comes to mind .... If one wanted to be somewhat cynical, one would think that the blind pursuit of the *"CO<sub>2</sub> drives warming"* dogma is indeed about *"Following the money"*!

But wait! Could it be that there is something else equally invisible that really is in control? Could it be other things that the now famous (or, perhaps, *'infamous'*) political organisation, the United Nations, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (I.P.C.C.), by its' very constitution chooses to ignore. After all, the I.P.C.C. was established to examine only the effect humanity might be making to our climate.

It is a funny thing that, almost all government-sponsored organisations that reports on this subject are in the vast and various media coverage of this somewhat inconvenient fact is, overlooked. So what else could be really controlling our climate? Could it be the Sun? In addition to this huge influence, there is an even bigger controlling factor?

Now what can this be? Something invisible (like CO<sub>2</sub>), but completely unlike CO<sub>2</sub>, but something that extends far beyond to our outer relatively small Solar System; something far beyond our own Galaxy; indeed, something that extends to controlling all of the entire Universe.

### Research Conclusions:

There is only one thing that fits the bill. It is the mysterious and invisible all-encompassing phenomenon – magnetism. Could it be that this very phenomenon itself has become confused over the years with what we recognise as 'gravity'? Indeed, the very structure of a magnetic field as shown in all the best text-books on physics has been misunderstood, being portrayed – in accordance with the well-known *'iron filings'* experiment – as being shaped rather like a rugby football.

This was from a large-scale 'iron filings' experiment which is why this dark 'pressure point' is visible. In the usual 'iron filings' experiment these points are not visible



Now I have discovered from several easily repeatable experiments that the true shape is not that of a rugby football, but rather something akin to the shape of a peanut shell.



My experiments showed quite clearly that this is because there is a pressure point in the middle of the field. My research showed that this caused a constriction, of the equal magnetic push from each pole that collectively, magnetically compressed this mid zone and the potentially differential pressures between these two opposing forces had an almost equilateral magnetic difference. However, at that point of time, the magnetic interaction thus perpetuated the fact that near this central portion, there was a higher magnetic pressure being generated there, than at the poles. Indeed, I have found that if the 'iron filings' experiment is carried out on a large scale – something that appears not to have been done in conventional thinking – then the 'pressure points' reveal themselves further away from the mid section of each magnet used in previous experiments. In other words, 'iron filings' experiments have always been conducted on a small scale, with the result that the true nature of this magnetic field structure has been, sadly, overlooked.

Now, the small-scale nature of the 'iron filings' experiment has been carried into large-scale imaginings of portrayals of the magnetic field of the Earth (the *Magnetosphere*), and that of the Sun's (the *Heliosphere*), and in these portrayals, you can perceive the 'rugby football' idea as being shown as the 'authoritative' illustration.

Conventional Wisdom.





Illustration of Earth's gravity values (1997) in relation to Earth's rotation. (Note 'peanut shape')



However, if this portrayal is 100%, wrong. What if the true portrayal, has a 'peanut shell' shape? All of a sudden, this gives a completely different perspective and potentially more logical explanation of a few natural phenomena. Could this go some way to explaining why it is that the tropical regions of the Earth have completely different weather patterns when compared to the non-tropical? Could this start to explain why it is that a planet such as Saturn with its rings, have those rings held constantly in position? Could it also start to explain why it is that our Moon positions itself between the poles of Earth and not over them, having an oscillating orbital pattern with-in our Magnetosphere? Could it also explain why our Earth is held within our Solar System, held in place as it orbits reacts to the Sun's magnetic heliosphere?


Going beyond the Sun and the Solar System, could this also explain why it is that all planetary systems have a spiral like position within this Galaxy? Indeed, could this also explain why all observed galaxies arms spiral out in a common plan, from its nucleus, the 'Black Hole'?

There is the simple phenomenon that is accepted and appreciated for many years now: the Sine Wave. Why does this sine wave exist? What regulates it to produce its' shape? For every Sine Wave graphed, is portrayed from data based on the actual circular orbital pattern of its subject origin is the observed reactions from four distinct magnetic energy responses from the observed theme.

My experiments led me to postulate that it is all controlled by magnetism, *the emissions from the active Electrons*, but that the very nature of a magnetic field strength, has been misunderstood, as shown by the confusion of the 'rugby football' assumption.


Convention holds that there a South Pole, having a *positive* electrical charge and a North Pole having a *negative* electrical charge. (I should comment here that tradition holds that the needle of the compass always points to the North Pole, whereas in fact, the needle really should point to the South Pole. Why is this so? The actual magnetic strength of a South Pole has a greater potential than a North Pole, is something that when measured with a Gauss-meter, and so it is a matter of tradition derived from lack of knowledge. In past times that has led to this popular, misconception. After all, the ancient Vikings had no idea there was a Southern Hemisphere! The relative strength of the Earth's South Pole to the North Pole is a ratio of 1:0.926987448.)

I reached this conclusion because of my experiments, that the electrical forces are more complex than a simple 'positive' and 'negative', and this complexity is necessary to understand in order to explain why the sine wave is shaped as it is. It is subjected to every frequency, and I found that there are actually four magnetic polarities, not two, and is described as being 'Positive/positive', then 'Positive/negative', leading to a neutral centre position which leads further to 'Negative/negative', then 'Negative/positive', that finally returns to its neutral position, thus completing the sine wave configuration. (And so on, of course.) It is this combination that is generated from our Sun's Corona, for our Earth's Magnetosphere is reacting to these four magnetic influences which in turn, decides the season that will be the result on mother Earth.



Applying these four magnetic polarities, and once this concept is, verified as a 'peanut' shape then this structure can be resolved with two simple experiments:

1. Rolling a wheel magnet around a bar magnet on a flat surface, [as shown in this simple demonstration](#); and
2. Measuring the magnetic pressures with a Gauss-meter in an assemblage of square magnets making (effectively) a bar magnet where the magnetic pressure between each square magnet can be measured with the Gaussmeter probe.



Everything is held in its place by the reaction of these four 'sine wave' magnetic forces. The Earth is held within its orbital position around the Sun, is being magnetically subjected to its four seasons; as is the Moon is held in its position relative to the Earth; the rings of Saturn are held in place by this magnetic reactive effect; our Solar System is held in place around our Milky Way Galaxy.

However, just like a sine wave, everything is in constant motion at the same moment of time, and everything is moving in a cyclical manner. (Like a orientation of a coiled spring.) Thus, there are the regularly recurring cycles such as is the now well-recognised 'Schwabe' cycles which occur on average about every 11 years, with various other longer term cycles, such as the Hale (22 years) and Gleissberg (88 years) cycles. The Schwabe cycle is in the news right now because of the timing indicating that the Sun should change its' magnetic polarity, something that appears to occur regularly.

Now here is a rather different perspective concerning this phenomenon! What if, the Sun does not change its' polarity? What if, rather like the supposed 'football' versus 'peanut shell' shape of a magnetic field we (by which I mean the entire scientific community) have been fooled as to what is going on in reality by interpreting the Sun's magnetic polarity as 'flipping' and reversing when there is another very logical explanation. What if the Sun's polarity does not change at all, but rather we on Earth believed in this, because later, found it to be an optical illusion. Could it be that even today with our modern instruments, satellites, and space telescopes we have been misled in exactly the same way that in earlier times science found it to be a 'wandering star', the early name for planets? Indeed that is the very derivation of the word 'planet'!

Consider this: if everything is controlled magnetically because we observe circular motion in the form of a 'Sine Wave', and it makes perfect sense that motion follows the same pattern as that of the observed, sine wave, and for the same reason. Everything is in constant but controlled circular motion.


It has been long-established that our Solar System progresses around our Solar System over several cycles of over 125,000 years, and that there is two 'arms' to our Galaxy. How logical is it that these 'arms' all have the varying polarities of a sine wave? This situation, explains the constant motion.

**Conclusion:**

What if our Solar System with its' constant orbit of the Sun is controlled in the same way? What if the Sun itself is, also held in a pattern, subject to another mighty magnetic force, explains why we are all locked into this ongoing cyclic motion? This means that, just like the sine wave: which is the transition of a rotational motion, our Solar System is an ever-changing positional, relative to the Sun Magnetic Heliosphere, so this means that we are moving not in a level orbit, but rather in a tubular pattern: sine wave pattern or, if you like, an *Archimedes's Scren*-like pattern. Then there is the question of what keeps our *Milky Way Galaxy* together and, for that matter, all galaxies. Is this solar system under the command of a greater magnetic emissions stemming from the "Black Hole" of the Milky Way Galaxy?

Thus the illusion of the Sun switching its' magnetic polarity when all that is happening is that our position in Space changes, and so from our observational perspective of the Sun, we are fooled into believing that the Sun has switched when in reality it is our viewpoint that has changed! This is exactly what was recognised some time ago regarding the 'wandering planets'. This was (and is) an optical illusion.

My diagram following, attempts to illustrate this.



I contend our climate is controlled by these gigantic magnetically evolved 'sine-wave'- like changes that are happening constantly and regularly due every spiral pattern combined, of course, by the now well-known variations in our orbit around the Solar System, together with the other long-recognised factors, such as the tilt of the Earth. It is why we have regular ice age cycles and regular warm periods (well established by a variety of empirical data such as ice core records shows them with a 125,000-year cycles quite clearly). All of these changes are totally unrelated to the trace gas CO<sub>2</sub>, the atmospheric level of which follows temperature changes and not the other way around, as contended by those people intent on promoting the idea that CO<sub>2</sub> levels drive climate.

Just for the record, consider a small bedroom of 2.8M wide x 2.8M long x 2.8M high, and on the floor, there are 390 small marbles:10mm in diameter, of which 12.4 represents the total amount of Carbon Dioxide, therein. The past Federal Labor Government brought about a Carbon Tax priced against every Industrial Business. Because of the Human contribution for this value, is 0.9 of one marble. How can anyone honestly believe that this miniscule amount that is proportional to a molecule, with an atomic weight of 44 is capable of changing the climate, we have witnessed, since the summer of 1998?

So what can we – humanity – do about this? Well unless you are completely delusional or perhaps feel we should revert to some ancient religious beliefs involving human sacrifices to propitiate the gods: absolutely nothing!

---

*Correspondence to:*

**Thomas T. S. Watson**

32 Kinlock Street,  
Bell Post Hill, Victoria, 3215  
[ttsw@bigpond.com](mailto:ttsw@bigpond.com)  
Phone: 03 52787628

Thursday 8<sup>th</sup> August 2013

Author of: A Fresh Approach to Magnetism ISBN N0-646-46737-9 (2006)  
Climate Change - Explained by Magnetism? ISBN9780646477220 (2009)  
Co-Author with A Boretti: *Is New Zealand Globally Warming*, (2011) by InderScience Publishers  
*The Incredible Truth, Oceans are not accelerating in Australia or in the World*, A. Boretti, T. Watson (2012) by Energy & Environment UK  
"WorldWide Who's Who" certificate for Research and Achievement - Honorary Life Member - 4<sup>th</sup> June 2012  
ON THE DIFFERENT ASSESSMENTS OF PRESENT VELOCITY AND ACCELERATION IN A TIDE GAUGE RECORD CHARACTERIZED BY A QUASI 60-YEARS PERIODIC OSCILLATION, by A. Boretti and T. Watson, January 27, 2013 for publication in Natural Hazards. DOI: 10.1007/s11069-013-0580-7.  
*Recent global-warming hiatus tied to equatorial Pacific surface cooling.2.docx* (2013) (Reviewed from: [ttsw@bigpond.com](mailto:ttsw@bigpond.com))  
[watson.thomas1@gmail.com](mailto:watson.thomas1@gmail.com)